Critique of a Blog Entry Sample (outline only)

Sample Blog Entry to Critique: https://www.itworldcanada.com/blog/wanted-defenders-of-the-public-interest/86095

- **Evaluation according to rubric (2):** Thorough, honest, and constructive evaluation. *Evaluate the Blog Entry according to*:
    - **Intellectual Engagement with Key Theme-Related Concepts:** Demonstrates engagement with the important issues raised through readings and/or class activities
        - *Does engage with important issues from class: what does it mean to be a professional?*
    - **Personal Response to Key Theme-Related Concepts:** Extensive evidence of a personal response to the issues raised in the readings/activities, and demonstrates growth
        - *Does provide evidence of a personal response, by using personal examples*
    - **Engaged Writing for the Web:** Shows a good command of Standard English. No problems for audience. Blog entry includes links and uses recommended style
        - *Well-written, style for links seems okay, but it could be better-written for the web (more scannable, use headings within post, and so forth) and some links' text could be more descriptive*
- **Critique (4):** Provides a substantial assessment of the entry, including the strength of the position taken by the author and the quality of the references used
    - *There is a lot of talk about public interest but not much to seriously define it (except for the anecdote about what it is not. This is a big issue that needs more consideration*
    - *European Union's Directive 2005/36/EC is not linked*
    - *More clear connection between "public interest" and CS/IT professional could be made –the author would have some space, there are about 200-300 words to spare*